

REMARKS

Claims 36 and 47 have been rewritten in independent form in view of the Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter on page 13 in the Office Action. Claims 35, 37-39, 42-46, 48-50, 52 and 53 have been canceled.

Entry of the above amendment is respectfully requested.

Art Rejections

Claims 42, 43, 52 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Wyatt et al. (US Patent 6,162,593), as evidenced by "Aromatic 150 Fluid Product Safety Summary." Claims 42-44, 52 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Serdiuk et al. (US Patent 5,276,096). Claims 42-44, 52 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Takagi et al. (US Patent 5,521,054). Claims 35, 37-39, 42-44, 52 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Epple et al. (US Patent 5,770,667).

In response, and to advance the prosecution, Applicants note that the rejected claims have been canceled. Accordingly, Applicants submit that the art rejections have been obviated, and withdrawal of these rejections is respectfully requested.

Claim Objections

Claims 36, 40, 45, 47 and 51 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicants thank the Examiner for indicating that claims 36, 40, 45, 47 and 51 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. In view of the Examiner's indication, Applicants have rewritten claims 36 and 47 in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim (there were no intervening claims). Applicants note that claims 40 and 51 respectively depend on amended claims 36 and 47, and that claim 45 has been canceled. Thus, Applicants submit that this objection has been overcome, and withdrawal of this objection is respectfully requested.

Conclusion

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: October 31, 2011

/Bruce E. Kramer/
Bruce E. Kramer
Registration No. 33,725